



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,945	06/24/2003	John F. Grubb	RL-1627DIV	1816	
7590 09/02/2005 ALLEGHENY TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED 1000 Six PPG Place Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5479			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DOVE, TR.	DOVE, TRACY MAE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0 /			1745		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/602,945	GRUBB, JOHN F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tracy Dove	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	June 2005.						
_ · · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _	· <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-40 and 42-54</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-35 and 44-54</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-40,42 and 43</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and a substitution of the							
		•					
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	иу (РТО-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050826					



Application/Control Number: 10/602,945

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 6/21/05. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Claims 23-40 and 42-54 are pending with claims 23-35 and 44-54 being withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Objections

Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites "at least one of niobium, titanium, and tantalum", which is improper group language. See MPEP 2173.05(h). Examiner suggests "at least one of niobium, titanium or tantalum".

Claim 39 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites "at least one of niobium, titanium, and tantalum", which is improper group language. Examiner suggests "at least one of niobium, titanium *or* tantalum". See MPEP 2173.05(h).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-40, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simpkins et al., US 6,613,468 in view of Taruya et al., JP 2000-294256.

Simpkins teaches a solid oxide fuel cell comprising an electrolyte 40 disposed between and in ionic communication with an anode 30 and a cathode 50 to form an electrochemical cell 10. The solid oxide fuel cell further includes an interconnect 24 (Figure 1; 2:61-66). The solid

electrolyte may comprise zirconium oxide (zirconia) (3:20-42). The interconnect is electrically conductive and may comprise a ferritic stainless steel material (6:46-67).

Simpkins does not explicitly teach the ferritic stainless steel of the claimed invention.

However, Taruya teaches a fuel cell comprising a separator (interconnect) having a specific ferrite stainless steel composition. Respective component elements of the ferrite stainless steel composition are 10.5-35 wt% of chromium, 0-6 wt% of molybdenum, not more than 0.018 wt% of carbon, not more than 0.2 wt% of titanium and not more than 0.3 wt% of niobium (abstract). The ferrite stainless steel separator may be contained in a fuel cell (0020). Taruya teaches the molybdenum range is preferably 0.5-5 wt% of the ferrite stainless steel composition (0041). Taruya is silent regarding the claimed properties of the ferrite stainless steel. However, since the compositional limitations are disclosed in Taruya, then the recited properties would have been inherent in the teachings of Taruya absent any proof to the contrary.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have been motivated to use the ferrite stainless steel composition of Taruya for the interconnect of Simpkins in view of the teaching by Simpkins that a ferritic stainless steel material may be used for the interconnect. Furthermore, the courts have ruled a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (Claims to titanium (Ti) alloy with 0.8% nickel (Ni) and 0.3% molybdenum (Mo) were not anticipated by, although they were held obvious over,

Art Unit: 1745

a graph in a Russian article on Ti-Mo-Ni alloys in which the graph contained an actual data point corresponding to a Ti alloy containing 0.25% Mo and 0.75% Ni.).

**

Claims 36-40, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kearl, US 6,828,055 in view of Internet printouts for Haynes 556TM Alloy ("556") and/or Haynes 263TM Alloy ("263") and/or Haynes HR-120TM Alloy ("120").

Kearl teaches a bipolar plate for a fuel cell stack. The fuel cell may be a proton exchange membrane fuel cell or a solid oxide fuel cell (9:7-20). The bipolar plate may be a heat and/or corrosion resistant metal such as stainless steel, nickel, iron, chromium, tungsten, carbon, cobalt, titanium and alloys thereof. The alloys may be members of the INCONELTM family or members of the HAYNESTM or HASTELLOYTM families of alloys. A solid oxide fuel cell comprises an anode, a cathode and a stabilized zirconia electrolyte between the anode and the cathode.

Kearl does not explicitly teach the ferritic stainless steel alloy of the claimed invention.

However, 556 teaches a stainless steel alloy comprising 22 wt% Cr, 3 wt % Mo, 0.1 wt% C and 0.6 wt% Ta. 263 teaches a stainless steel alloy comprising 20 wt% Cr, 6 wt% Mo, 0.06 wt% C and up to 2.4 wt% Ti. 120 teaches a stainless steel alloy comprising 25 wt% Cr, up to 2.5 wt% Mo and 0.05 wt% C.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of skill would have been motivated to use 556, 263 and/or 120 for the bipolar plate of the solid oxide fuel cell of Kearl in view of the teaching by Kearl that a HAYNESTM family alloy may be used. The courts have ruled a prima

Art Unit: 1745

facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (Claims to titanium (Ti) alloy with 0.8% nickel (Ni) and 0.3% molybdenum (Mo) were not anticipated by, although they were held obvious over, a graph in a Russian article on Ti-Mo-Ni alloys in which the graph contained an actual data point corresponding to a Ti alloy containing 0.25% Mo and 0.75% Ni.).

Kearl is silent regarding the claimed properties of the stainless steel. However, since similar compositional limitations are disclosed in the cited references and the claimed invention, then one of skill would have reasonably expected the properties of the claimed stainless steel to be similar to the stainless steel of the prior art, absent any proof to the contrary.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36-40, 42 and 43 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grubb 6,641,780 teaches a ferritic stainless steel composition. Grubb is the parent case of the presently claimed invention. The solid oxide fuel cell claims were filed in the current divisional application in response to a restriction requirement in the parent case.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,945 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

August 26, 2005